

Gorensteinness for normal tangent cones of geometric ideals

Tomohiro Okuma (Yamagata Univ.)

Kei-ichi Watanabe (Nihon Univ. and Meiji Univ.)

Ken-ichi Yoshida (Nihon Univ.) .

The **43rd** Japan Symposium on Commutative Algebra
at Osaka University

November 14, 2022

Throughout this talk, we assume

Assumption (★)

- $(\mathbf{A}, \mathfrak{m})$: excellent normal local domain.
- $\mathbf{A} \supset K = \overline{K}$: algebraically closed field
- $\dim \mathbf{A} = 2$, \mathbf{A} is *not* regular
- I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary integrally closed ideal
- $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is a minimal reduction of I

\exists resolution of sing. $f: X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbf{A}$, $\exists Z$ an anti-nef cycle on X s.t.

$$I\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z), \quad I = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)).$$

Then we denote it by $I = I_Z$.

(So, $I = I_Z$ means that I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary integrally closed ideal)

Definition 1.1 (Normal reduction numbers (cf. [OWY4]))

- The **relative normal reduction number** of I is defined by

$$\mathbf{nr}(I) := \min\{r \geq 1 \mid \overline{I^{r+1}} = \mathbf{Q}\overline{I^r}\}.$$

- The **normal reduction number** of I is defined by

$$\bar{r}(I) := \min\{r \geq 1 \mid \overline{I^{N+1}} = \mathbf{Q}\overline{I^N} \ (\forall N \geq r)\}.$$

Then $1 \leq \mathbf{nr}(I) \leq \bar{r}(I)$.

For any given $r \geq 3$, $\exists I = I_{\mathbf{Z}} \subset \mathbf{A}$: s.t. $1 = \mathbf{nr}(I) < r = \bar{r}(I)$ (cf. [OWY5]).

For any $I = I_Z$ and a positive integer n , we put

$$q(nI) := \dim_K H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-nZ)).$$

$p_g(\mathbf{A}) := \dim_K H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = q(0I)$ is called a **geometric genus**.

Proposition 1.2 (cf. [OWY1, OWY4])

For any minimal reduction \mathbf{Q} of I ,

(1) $0 \leq q(I) \leq p_g(\mathbf{A})$.

(2) $k \geq 0 \implies q(kI) \geq q((k+1)I)$.

(3) $q(nI) = q((n+1)I) \implies q(nI) = q(mI) \ (\forall m \geq n)$.

Put $q(\infty I) = q(nI)$ for large enough n .

(4) $2 \cdot q(kI) + \ell_A(\overline{I^{k+1}} / \overline{QI^k}) = q((k+1)I) + q((k-1)I)$.

Proposition 1.3 ([OWY5, Proposition 2.2])

For $I = I_Z$, we have

- (1) $\text{nr}(I) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid q((n-1)I) - q(nI) = q(nI) - q((n+1)I)\}.$
- (2) $\bar{r}(I) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid q((n-1)I) = q(nI)\}.$
- (3) $\bar{r}(I) \leq p_g(\mathbf{A}) + 1.$ If equality holds, then $\text{nr}(I) = 1.$

For instance, if $\bar{r}(I) = 2$, then $q(2I) = q(I)$ holds. Hence

$$2 \cdot q(I) + \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\bar{I}^2/QI) = q((2I) + q(0I))$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\bar{I}^2/QI) = p_g(\mathbf{A}) - q(I)$$

■ $\exists I = I_Z \subset \mathbf{A}$ s.t. $p_g(\mathbf{A}) = 2 > q(I) = 1 > q(2I) = \cdots = q(\infty I) = 0.$

So $1 = \text{nr}(I) < \bar{r}(I) = 3$ and $p_g(\mathbf{A}) = 2.$

Proposition 1.4 ([OWY2, Theorem 3.2])

The *normal Hilbert polynomial* $\bar{P}_I(n)$ can be written as the following form:

$$\bar{P}_I(n) = \bar{e}_0(I) \binom{n+2}{2} - \bar{e}_1(I) \binom{n+1}{1} + \bar{e}_2(I)$$

- (1) $\bar{P}_I(n) = \ell_A(\mathbf{A}/\overline{I^{n+1}})$ ($\forall n \geq p_g(\mathbf{A}) - 1$).
 - (2) $\bar{e}_0(I) = \mathbf{e}_0(I) = -Z^2$.
 - (3) $\bar{e}_1(I) - \bar{e}_0(I) + \ell_A(\mathbf{A}/I) = p_g(\mathbf{A}) - q(I)$.
 - (4) $\bar{e}_2(I) = p_g(\mathbf{A}) - q(nI) = p_g(\mathbf{A}) - q(\infty I)$ ($\forall n \geq p_g(\mathbf{A})$).
- Each $\bar{e}_i(I)$ is called a *normal Hilbert coefficient*.

Definition 1.5

For $I = I_Z$,

① $\mathbf{G}(I) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n / I^{n+1}$: the **associated graded ring** of I .

② $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \overline{I^n} / \overline{I^{n+1}}$: the **normal tangent cone** of I .

Question: When is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ Gorenstein

In this talk, we consider the following question for ‘geometric’ ideals.

Question 1.6

- 1 When is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ Cohen-Macaulay?
- 2 When is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ Gorenstein?

The above question is related to our previous reserach, which the following observation shows.

Proposition 1.7

If $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then $\mathbf{nr}(I) = \bar{\mathbf{r}}(I)$.

Example: $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ is not CM

Ex 1.8

Let K be a field of $\text{char}K \neq 2, 3$, and let $\mathbf{A} = K[[xy, xz, y^2, yz, z^2]]$ with $x^2 = y^6 + z^6$. That is, $\mathbf{A} = K[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}]/\mathfrak{a}$, where \mathfrak{a} is generated by the following polynomials:

$$\begin{array}{lll} a^2 - d^4 - de^3, & ab - cd^3 - ce^3, & b^2 - d^3e - e^4 \\ ac - bd, & ae - bc, & de - c^2 \end{array}$$

Then \mathbf{A} is an excellent normal local domain with $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{1} = 5$.

If we put $I = (xy, xz, yz, y^2, z^4) = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}^2) \supset Q = (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{e}^2)$, then $I = I_z$ and $\mathbf{1} = \text{nr}(I) < \bar{\mathbf{r}}(I) = \mathbf{3}$.

In particular, $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ is NOT Cohen-Macaulay.

On the other hand, $\mathbf{G}(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Definition 1.9 ([OWY1])

An ideal $I = I_Z$ is called a \mathfrak{p}_g -ideal if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- 1 $\bar{r}(I) = 1$.
 - 2 $q(I) = \mathfrak{p}_g(\mathbf{A})$.
 - 3 $\bar{e}_1(I) - \bar{e}_0(I) + \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/I) = 0$.
 - 4 $\bar{e}_2(I) = 0$.
 - 5 I is normal and stable.
- $I = I_Z$ is normal if $\bar{I}^n = I^n$ for every $n \geq 1$.
 - $I = I_Z$ is stable if $I^2 = \mathbf{Q}I$ for some minimal reduction \mathbf{Q} of I .

Proposition 1.10

\mathbf{A} is a rational singularity (i.e. $\mathfrak{p}_g(\mathbf{A}) = 0$) $\iff \forall I = I_Z$ is a \mathfrak{p}_g -ideal.

Proposition 1.11 (ORWY)

If I is a \mathfrak{p}_g -ideal, then

- 1 $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I) = \mathbf{G}(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
- 2 $\overline{\mathcal{R}}(I) = \mathcal{R}(I)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay normal domain.

An ideal $I = I_{\mathbf{z}}$ is called **good** if I is stable with $I = \mathbf{Q} : I$.

Theorem 1.12

Suppose that I is a \mathfrak{p}_g -ideal. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I) = \mathbf{G}(I)$ is Gorenstein.
- 2 I is good.

Definition 1.13 ([OWRY, Theorem 3.2])

An ideal $I = I_Z$ is called a **elliptic ideal** if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- 1 $\bar{r}(I) = 2$.
- 2 $p_g(\mathbf{A}) > q(I) = q(\infty I)$.
- 3 $\bar{e}_1(I) - \bar{e}_0(I) + \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/I) = \bar{e}_2(I) > 0$.

Theorem 1.14 ([ORWY, Corollary 3.7])

\mathbf{A} is an **elliptic singularity** $\implies \bar{r}(I) \leq 2$ for any $I = I_Z$.

How about the converse?

Question 1.15

Assume that I is an **elliptic ideal**.

- 1 When is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ Cohen-Macaulay?
- 2 When is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ Gorenstein?

Theorem 1.16 ([ORWY], Huneke)

If I is *elliptic ideal*, then $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

The following theorem gives a characterization for Gorensteinness of $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ for any elliptic ideal I .

Theorem 2.1

Assume \mathbf{A} is *Gorenstein* and $\overline{r}(I) = 2$.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ is *Gorenstein*.
- 2 $\mathbf{Q}: I = \mathbf{Q} + \overline{I}^2$ holds true.
- 3 $\ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\overline{I}^2/\mathbf{Q}I) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/I)$ holds true.
- 4 $\overline{e}_2(I) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/I)$ holds true.
- 5 $\mathbf{KZ} = -\mathbf{Z}^2$, that is, $\chi(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{0}$.

Sketch of the proof (2) \implies (1)

Theorem 1.16 $\implies \bar{\mathbf{G}} := \bar{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Then $\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{b}^* \in \bar{\mathbf{G}}$ forms a $\bar{\mathbf{G}}$ -sequence, where $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$.

If we put $\mathbf{B} = \bar{\mathbf{G}}/(\mathbf{a}^*, \mathbf{b}^*) \cong \mathbf{A}/I \oplus I/(\mathbf{Q} + \bar{I}^2) \oplus (\mathbf{Q} + \bar{I}^2)/\mathbf{Q}$, then

$\bar{\mathbf{G}}$: Gorenstein $\iff \mathbf{B}$: Gorenstein.

(2) \implies (1) : **ETS: $\dim_K \text{Soc}(\mathbf{B}) = 1$.**

Let $\mathbf{x}^* \in \text{Soc}(\mathbf{B})$, a homogeneous element.

When $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbf{B}_0$, $\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{B}_2 = 0 \implies \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Q} : (\mathbf{Q} + \bar{I}^2) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \mathbf{Q} : (\mathbf{Q} : I) = I$.

When $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbf{B}_1$, $\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{B}_1 = 0 \implies \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Q} : I = \mathbf{Q} + \bar{I}^2$.

Hence $\text{Soc}(\mathbf{B}) \subset \text{Soc}(\mathbf{B}_2) \cong K$, as required.

(1) $\bar{\mathbf{G}}(I)$ is Gorenstein.

(2) $\mathbf{Q} : I = \mathbf{Q} + \bar{I}^2$.

Sketch of the proof (1) \implies (2) \iff (3)

(Obs i) **Huneke-Itoh's theorem** (i.e. $\mathbf{Q} \cap \overline{\mathbf{I}^2} = \mathbf{QI}$)

$$\implies \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{B}_2) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Q} + \overline{\mathbf{I}^2}/\mathbf{Q}) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\overline{\mathbf{I}^2}/\mathbf{Q} \cap \overline{\mathbf{I}^2}) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\overline{\mathbf{I}^2}/\mathbf{QI}).$$

(Obs ii) **Matlis duality**

$$\implies \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Q} : \mathbf{I}/\mathbf{Q}) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{I}}) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{I}) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{B}_0).$$

(Obs iii) $\bar{r}(\mathbf{I}) = 2 \implies \overline{\mathbf{I}^2} \subset \overline{\mathbf{I}^3} = \mathbf{Q}\overline{\mathbf{I}^2} \implies \mathbf{Q} + \overline{\mathbf{I}^2} \subset \mathbf{Q} : \mathbf{I}.$

$$\therefore \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{B}_0) - \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{B}_2) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{Q} : \mathbf{I}/\mathbf{Q} + \overline{\mathbf{I}^2}) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\overline{\mathbf{I}^2}/\mathbf{QI}) - \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{I}).$$

Hence this implies (1) \implies (2) \iff (3).

(1) $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{I})$ is Gorenstein.

(2) $\mathbf{Q} : \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{Q} + \overline{\mathbf{I}^2}.$

(3) $\ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\overline{\mathbf{I}^2}/\mathbf{QI}) = \ell_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{I}).$

Sketch of the proof (3) \iff (4) \iff (5)

Assume $\bar{r}(I) = 2$. Then $\mathbf{q}(I) = \mathbf{q}(2I) = \mathbf{q}(\infty I)$.

(Obs i) **Prop. 1.2** $\implies \ell_A(\bar{I}^2/QI) = p_g(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{q}(I)$.

(Obs ii) **Prop. 1.4** $\implies \bar{e}_2(I) = p_g(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{q}(\infty I) (= \ell_A(\bar{I}^2/QI))$.

(Obs iii) **Kato's Riemann-Roch formula**

$$\underline{\ell_A(\mathbf{A}/I) + \mathbf{q}(I) = \chi(\mathbf{Z}) + p_g(\mathbf{A})}, \text{ where } \chi(\mathbf{Z}) = -\frac{\mathbf{Z}^2 + \mathbf{KZ}}{2}.$$

$$\implies \chi(\mathbf{Z}) = \ell_A(\mathbf{A}/I) - \{p_g(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{q}(I)\}.$$

Hence $\ell_A(\mathbf{A}/I) - \ell_A(\bar{I}^2/QI) = \ell_A(\mathbf{A}/I) - \bar{e}_2(I) = \chi(\mathbf{Z})$.

$$(3) \ell_A(\bar{I}^2/QI) = \ell_A(\mathbf{A}/I).$$

$$(4) \bar{e}_2(I) = \ell_A(\mathbf{A}/I).$$

$$(5) \chi(\mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{0}, \text{ that is, } \mathbf{KZ} = -\mathbf{Z}^2.$$

Definition 2.2 ([OWRY, Theorem 3.9])

An ideal $I = I_Z$ is called a **strongly elliptic ideal** if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- 1 $\bar{r}(I) = 2$ and $\ell_A(\overline{I^2}/\mathbf{Q}I) = 1$ for some min. reduction \mathbf{Q} of I .
- 2 $p_g(\mathbf{A}) - 1 = q(I) = q(\infty I)$.
- 3 $\bar{e}_2(I) = 1$.

A (resp. A **Gorenstein**) local ring \mathbf{A} is called a **strongly elliptic singularity** (resp. **minimally elliptic singularity**) if $p_g(\mathbf{A}) = 1$.

Theorem 2.3 ([ORWY, Theorem 3.14])

\mathbf{A} is a *strong elliptic singularity*

\Rightarrow any $I = I_Z$ is either a p_g -ideal or a *strongly elliptic ideal*.

When is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$ Gorenstein

In what follows, we always assume that \mathbf{A} is Gorenstein.

When is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$ Gorenstein?

Proposition 2.4

- 1 $\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m}) \leq 2 \implies \overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$ is Gorenstein.
- 2 If $p_g(\mathbf{A}) \leq 2 \implies \overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$ is Gorenstein.

If $\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$, then \mathfrak{m} is good.

If $\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$, then \mathfrak{m} satisfies the condition of the theorem.

$p_g(\mathbf{A}) = 2$ (\mathbf{A} :Gor.) $\xrightarrow{\text{Yau}} \mathbf{A}$: elliptic $\xrightarrow{\text{Okuma}} \bar{r}(I) \leq 2$ for $\forall I = I_{\mathbf{z}}$.

Lemma 2.5

$$\mathbf{A} = K[[x, y, z]]/(x^a + y^b + z^c)$$

$$\Rightarrow p_g(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{n=0}^{a(\mathbf{A})} \dim_K \mathbf{A}_n$$

For example, we consider $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^3 + z^{6g+1})$.

Put $\deg(x) = 3(6g + 1)$, $\deg(y) = 2(6g + 1)$, and $\deg(z) = 6$.

Then $a(\mathbf{A}) = 6(6g + 1) - \{3(6g + 1) + 2(6g + 1) + 6\} = 6g - 5$.

$$\therefore p_g(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{n=0}^{6g-5} \dim_K \mathbf{A}_n = \#\{1, z, z^2, \dots, z^{g-1}\} = g.$$

When is $\overline{G}(m)$ Gorenstein: Examples (1)

Ex 2.6

Let $g \geq 1$ be an integer.

① $A = \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^3 + z^{6g+1}).$

$$p_g(A) = g, \bar{r}(m) = 1,$$

$\overline{G}(m) = G(m) \cong K[X, Y]/(X^2)$ is **Gorenstein**.

② $A = \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^4 + z^{4g})$

$p_g(A) = g, \bar{r}(m) = 2, \overline{G}(m)$ is **Gorenstein**. Indeed,

$$\overline{G}(m) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]/(X^2 + Y^4 + Z^4) & (\text{if } g = 1) \\ \mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]/(X^2 + Y^4) & (\text{o.e.}) \end{cases}$$

When is $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$ Gorenstein: Examples (2)

- 1 $\exists \mathbf{A}$ which satisfies $\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = 3$ and $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$ is **Not** Gorenstein.
- 2 $\exists \mathbf{A}$ which satisfies $p_g(\mathbf{A}) = 3$ and $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$ is **Not** Gorenstein.

Ex 2.7

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]]/(\mathbf{x}^3 + \mathbf{y}^5 + \mathbf{z}^5).$$

Then $\bar{r}(\mathfrak{m}) = p_g(\mathbf{A}) = 3$, and that $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$ is **Not Gorenstein**

Application: Elliptic ideals in Gorenstein elliptic singularity

Using geometric tools, we give the following finiteness theorem.

Theorem 2.8

Assume that \mathbf{A} is an *elliptic Gorenstein singularity*.

If we put $\mathcal{G}_{\text{ell}} := \{I = I_{\mathbf{Z}} \subset \mathbf{A} \mid I \text{ is elliptic, } \overline{\mathbf{G}}(I) \text{ is Gorenstein}\}$,
then we have $\sharp(\mathcal{G}_{\text{ell}}) \leq p_g(\mathbf{A})$.

\mathbf{A} is called an *elliptic singularity* if $\chi(\mathbf{D}) \geq 0$ for any cycle $\mathbf{D} > \mathbf{0}$ and $\chi(\mathbf{F}) = 0$ for some cycle $\mathbf{F} > \mathbf{0}$.

Question 2.9

For any Gorenstein local ring \mathbf{A} , is the set \mathcal{G}_{ell} above a *finite set*?

Ex 2.10

Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + z(z^{4n+2} + y^4))$ and
 $\mathbf{B} = \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^3 + z^{6(2n+1)})$.

Then both \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are **elliptic Gorenstein singularities** with the same resolution graph.

① $p_g(\mathbf{A}) = n + 1$ and $\sharp(\mathcal{G}_{ell}) = n$.

In fact, $\mathcal{G}_{ell} = \{(x, y, z^j) \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

② $p_g(\mathbf{B}) = 2n + 1$ and $\mathcal{G}_{ell} = \emptyset$.

Note: $\overline{\mathbf{G}}(\mathfrak{m})$ is Gorenstein. But $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbf{B}$ is **not an elliptic ideal** but a good p_g -ideal.

Thank you very much for your attention !



- 【OWY1】 T. Okuma, K.-i. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida, [Good ideals and \$\mathfrak{p}_g\$ -ideals in two-dimensional normal singularities](#), Manuscripta Math. **150** (2016), no. 3-4, 499–520.
- 【OWY2】 T. Okuma, K.-i. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida, [Rees algebras and \$\mathfrak{p}_g\$ -ideals in a two-dimensional normal local domain](#), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 1, 39–47.
- 【OWY4】 T. Okuma, K.-i. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida, [Normal reduction numbers for normal surface singularities with application to elliptic singularities of Brieskorn type](#), Acta Math. Vietnamica, **44** (2019), no. 1, 87–100.
- 【OWY5】 T. Okuma, K.-i. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida, [The normal reduction number of two-dimensional cone-like singularities](#), Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (2021), 4569–4581.
- 【ORWY】 T. Okuma, M.E.Rossi, K.-i. Watanabe, and K. Yoshida, [Normal Hilbert coefficients and elliptic ideals in normal two-dimensional singularities](#), to appear in Nagoya Math. J. (2022).